

School of Law

John K, Hulston Hall Columbia, MO 65211

PHONE 573-882-6487
FAX 573-882-4984
WEB law.missouri.edu
FACEBOOK Mizzou Law
TWITTER @mizzoulaw
YOUTUBE mizzoulaw
INSTAGRAM @mizzoulaw

July 29, 2022

University of Missouri Board of Curators 316 University Hall Columbia, Missouri 65211

Board of Curators,

We are writing to express our thoughts regarding the proposed leave changes for staff at the University of Missouri system. In particular we are concerned about the effect it will have on staff wellbeing, recruitment to the University of Missouri, and equity for employees who will be losing leave with no compensation for doing so.

We'd like to begin with what we believe are the benefits of the proposal. We applaud the goals of modernizing the University's leave program, improving its effectiveness, and promoting worklife balance. We agree that new employees should not have to suffer a lack of leave to care for themselves or their families when needed.

However, we have concerns and questions about the effect some proposed changes will have on existing staff members.

Interference with Retention and Recruitment

The university employees who would be affected by this change are widely varied. They include all benefit-eligible administrative, service and support staff and certain non-regular academic employees. Since this proposal was first envisioned, the labor market has changed radically. The pandemic pushed many long-time University employees to retire. Nationally, we are seeing employers struggle to replace staff. University staff are well educated and have many opportunities to move to different employers who will offer better benefits. Reducing a decent benefit package will only encourage strong employees to look elsewhere and discourage recruitment to the University of prospective employees. Some positions are recruited nationally and cannot rely on local talent. Particularly in a low-tax state with lower government wages, offering a better benefit package is one of the ways that we can remain a strong recruiter.

We understand the Curators concern that the University only needs to be competitive with local businesses in order to retain talented staff but three of the four campuses compete with large and well-funded corporations or state governments for those staff. For example, Columbia is located within 30 minutes of Jefferson City and many citizens commute to the state capital for jobs. The State offers (page 121) 44 total days at hire of paid time off. The proposal also states that the State of Missouri does not offer parental leave, but it now does (see Executive Order 17-09). This leave includes 6 weeks of paid leave at 100% salary. In this way, the State of Missouri can recruit talented individuals, even though it may not offer extremely high wages. We should continue to do the same with the competitive benefits the University offers.

Loss and restricted use of sick leave

The materials posted online do not explicitly communicate the real loss of benefit to employees who have worked for the University for more than 5 years. It is clear that the program as proposed would remove 10 days of paid time off for those employees with no clear compensation for this loss of time. We recognize that not all staff are protected by employment contracts, but some are. Whether short term disability, parental leave, or caregiver leave (yet undefined) are adequate for this loss of sick leave remains to be negotiated. However, this type of leave is described as one that would need to be approved by higher authorities and is not readily available to the employee to use at their will as they would use accrued sick leave. It also would only be covered at a 60% rate of salary, unlike the use of sick leave which covers all of the salary lost and does not have to be defined as a "serious health condition." Again, we applaud the addition of short-term disability, but do not think it equitable to remove an employee's sick leave as a replacement.

In the proposal (page 115), the stated principles include "reduces abuse of sick time" and "promotes scheduling time off in advance." These are not goals that can be addressed by removing an employee's benefits. Instead, these are management issues that should be addressed within the administrative unit where the employee works. It is unfair to punish all University employees for a manager's failure to effectively supervise their employees.

Additionally, it cannot be an abuse of sick time *to use* the time given to an employee for this purpose. While it may be true that most University employees do not use all of their sick time, responsible employees who reserve this time for emergencies should not be penalized for doing so. If a PTO plan would improve trust between an employee and the University for use of time off as needed, that same trust can be preserved without reducing the employee's benefits. It is demeaning to suggest otherwise.

Budget concerns

The third goal of the proposal is to realize cost savings. We wish to be clear: University employees are keenly aware of the University's need and efforts to save money. Most staff members (and some faculty) have been asked to take on the tasks of two or more colleagues who have retired or left the University. Usually the additional workload comes with no additional remuneration for the added work and stress. Instead, this proposal *removes* time off for employees who have stayed and been loyal to this institution. It is also counterproductive. If employees do not feel free to take time off when they are sick, they will come to work sick. The pandemic has shown us how ill advised this tactic is. Similarly, employees who are ill do not work as productively as when they are well. Short term disability benefits do not meet this need as they are designed to address serious health conditions. If employees use their valuable 'vacation time' (no distinction made in a PTO plan) for everyday illnesses, then they do not have time to take needed vacations to rest.

A recent article from <u>Deloitte</u> states, "Only 56% of employees think their company's executives care about their well-being, while 91% of the C-suite think their employees believe they care about it." The proposal to remove 10 days of sick time does not reassure employees that the University of Missouri System is concerned with their wellbeing as much as it is concerned with saving money or meeting a perceived market standard for employee benefits.

We look forward to the discussion meetings. We ask that the Curators and University HR personnel consider our questions and concerns.

Cindy Shearrer Associate Law Librarian for Patron Services	Cindy Bassett Collection Management & Electronic Services Librarian	Laura Wilcoxon Reference and Student Services Librarian
Randy Diamond Director Library & Tech Resources, Legal Research Professor	Denise Boessen Law School Registrar	Frank O. Bowman, III University of Missouri Curators' Distinguished Professor Emeritus & Floyd R. Gibson Missouri Endowed Professor of Law Emeritus
Melissa Fayad Acquisitions Specialist	Jeff Turnbull Coordinator of Student Financial Aid	Eugene O'Loughlin Veterans Benefits Specialist Veterans Clinic
S. David Mitchell Co-Director, Michael A. Middleton Center for Race, Citizenship & Justice & Ruth L. Hulston Professor of Law	Clark M. Peters Associate Professor School of Social Work University of Missouri	Thomas B. Bennett Associate Professor of Law and Wall Family Fellow

Rafael Gely, J.D., Ph.D. James E. Campbell Missouri Endowed Professor of Law	Dean Hargett Coordinator of Admissions and Recruitment	Nicole Rudkin Administrative Assistant Fiscal Office
Monique Prince Senior Executive Assistant	Laura Coleman Business Support	Sarah K. Reesman Director, Public Interest and JD
	Specialist II	Advantage Careers
Robin Stoermer	Jennifer Riedy Clark	Thom Lambert
Administrative Assistant	Senior Director of Career Development & Instructor	Wall Chair in Corporate Law and Governance and Professor of Law
Anne Alexander	Erika Lietzan	Wilson R. Freyermuth
Teaching Professor of Law	William H. Pittman Professor of Law & Timothy J. Heinsz Professor of Law	John D. Lawson Professor of Law Curators' Distinguished Teaching Professor
Nathan Hurst	Julia Noruka	Melody Daily
Director of Communications & Marketing	Admissions Specialist	Clinical Professor and Director of Legal Research and Writing Emerita

Richard C. Reuben James Lewis Parks Professor of Law	Michelle L. Rapp Assistant to the Registrar	Robert H. Jerry, II Floyd R. Gibson Missouri Endowed Professor Emeritus of Law
Carl Esbeck R.B. Price Professor of Emeritus of Law / Isabelle Wade & Paul C. Lyda Professor Emeritus of Law	James Levin Associate Director for the Center of Dispute Resolution and Adjunct Professor of Law	JR Swanegan III Assistant Dean for Enrollment Management & Financial Aid
Rigel C. Oliveri Isabelle Wade and Paul C. Lyda Professor of Law	Charles D. Henson Trial Practice Professor of Law	Terri Potter Law School Building Coordinator
Jennifer McGarr Assistant Dean for Career Development and Student Services	Martha Bradley Attorney – Thomas Fellow	Mary Beck Clinical Professor Emerita of Law
Zarifullah Darkhily Visiting Assistant Professor of Law	Carol D. Newman Transactional Professor of Law	Angela Drake Director Veterans Clinic
David Fischer James Lewis Parks and Isidor Loeb Professor Emeritus of Law	Philip G. Peters, Jr. Ruth L. Hulston Professor Emeritus of Law	Christina E. Wells Enoch H. Crowder Professor of Law

Joyce Naeger Administrative Associate	Nicki Eatinger-Sprague Executive Assistant Veterans Clinic	Jayne Woods Associate Teaching Professor of Law
Jessica Longaker Library Information Specialist Sr.	John Lande Isidor Loeb Professor Emeritus Senior Fellow, Center for the Study of Dispute Resolution	Rachel Wechsler Associate Professor of Law Senior Fellow
Ilhyung Lee Director, Center for the Study of Dispute Resolution and Edward W. Hinton Professor of Law	Dennis D. Crouch Associate Professor of Law Director of Intellectual Property and Entrepreneurship	